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Abstract

In this article, we present a model for building interactive graphical applications based on multi-layer graphics. With this model, a variety of components of an interactive graphical editor (transient objects like the selection rectangle, selected objects, grids, cursors), as well as the handling of input events, are realized in a more straightforward way.

We show how an interactive graphical application can use layered graphics in a simple and effective way to represent direct manipulation, some graphic constraints and event handling using various input devices. By clarifying the status of abstract elements of an interactive application, the model encompasses a significant part of the dynamic aspect of the interaction.


Introduction

Building interactive graphical programs is still a difficult task. Some toolkits [14, 11] propose a framework for building task-specific editors, but the kind of editors they can produce are stereotypical in several aspects:

• they only consider keyboard and mouse input,
• their graphic model is tied to the PostScript model,
• their interaction is based on stereotypical graphic objects like button boxes and menus.

We believe that these limitations are currently too strong because of new, easily available input devices; both 2D (Wacom pressure sensitive styli, touch screens with multi touch sensitivity, eye trackers) and 3D (data gloves, flying mice, 3D styli). Since the list of devices recognized by current toolkits is “hardwired” into the toolkit, almost no support is provided for application programmers to handle these new kinds of devices for input and to support graphic feedback for their actions.

We propose here a model based on multi-layered graphics and multi-layered input handling.

This model is also suitable for describing traditional mouse- and keyboard-based interactive programs, simplifying the handling of interaction, the handling of transient shapes, of selected graphic objects, of constraint representations (e.g. a grid) and of device feedback.

Most graphical toolkits distinguish two levels for displaying graphics: a virtual surface where the graphics are drawn and one or several viewports which show some part of the virtual surface (see fig. 1).

In this model, the graphics on the virtual surface are produced by a graphic controller, a module which transforms an abstract data structure into a graphic data structure which can be displayed. Passing from the graphic data structure to the virtual surface is done by a renderer module (see fig. 2). Projecting the virtual surface onto a visible viewport is done through a transformation and a clipping zone. This model is used by most graphical systems and the mechanisms to
implement it can be found in windowing systems like X [12], the Macintosh Toolbox [2], and by graphical toolkits like InterViews [9], ET++ [15] or Garnet [11]. This model is well adapted to the display of graphics but is of little help for interaction. For instance, transient objects, like handles or sliding shapes, have no clear graphic status. They do not belong to the graphic data structure so their display and management is done by an internal part of the toolkit. This internal part is hard to adapt to new interaction and appearance styles.

The Multi-Layered Graphic Model

We propose a model where the visible surface can display several virtual surfaces, like stacked transparent cellulosoids (see figure 3); a virtual surface supports a graphical structure. The same virtual surface can be shown on several different visible surfaces, at different levels. This is an extension to the multi-view concept. This model is useful to specify both the display of graphics and the handling of events. Layers are drawn from the bottom (background) to the top (foreground) whereas events are handled from the topmost layer to the bottommost. In the following sections, we discuss the use of layers in typical applications, the handling of graphics, event handling, the status of various components in our model and finally an example of its use.

Layers

While using the Xtv toolkit developed at LRI [3], experiments with several interactive graphical layouts led us to distinguish between the following layers:

- **Background/model layer**: this layer displays a background image. Conceptually, areas covered by no other layer contain the background image. Window systems usually handle this layer in a special way. X, for instance, has a background image (generally a solid color) for each window. In programs like Illustrator™, this layer can contain a drawing which is then used as a template for the main drawing, like with tracing paper. In our model, this layer shows "default" graphics and handles events ignored by the other layers.

- **Graphical constraints visualization layer**: this layer usually displays a grid or other graphical formalism for representing geometrical constraints.

- **Application data layer**: this layer, as in figure 1, displays the graphical objects representing the application's internal objects. Event handling in this layer is described later.

- **Selected objects layer**: this layer displays the selection, using shapes (e.g. handles) expressing the kinds of manipulations available. Event handling in this layer supports direct manipulation.

- **Lexical operations representation layer**: this layer displays shapes expressing the status of input devices, like cursors, as well as transient shapes (e.g. "zoom animation").

Obviously, this list is not exhaustive; other layers can be found for specific applications. It does, however, apply to many applications (MacDraw, Illustrator, Idraw, etc.). The model can manage many visible surfaces (multi-views): each virtual surface can be seen by any number of visible surfaces and each visible surface can display a virtual surface at any level. Hence, the selection in a given view can differ from the selection in another view. The constraint grid can appear in one view and not in another, and be different in a third. A view can use a visible surface as a model whereas another uses it as the application data layer. See the example application at the end of the paper.

The Transformation Model

As explained in the previous section, the display of a virtual surface on a visible surface is done with a transformation and
In order to keep the simplicity of this mechanism with the multi-layer model, graphic objects should be able to actively control their drawing process to bypass the standard visualization transformation. As explained in the previous paragraph, the redisplay algorithm calls a drawing function with a region to draw as an argument. In our model, the visualization transformation should also be passed as a parameter to the drawing function of each layer.

It should be noted that when a graphic structure is modified and the redisplay algorithm is notified that an area should be updated, the area cannot be computed just once for the abstract surface and propagated to each visible surface where it appears, because, here again, the treatment of the transformation has to be taken into account for each visible surface in order to compute the exact area.

The lazy redisplay mechanism used by the MacIntosh toolbox, as well as InterViews, ET++ and Xtv, can be easily adapted to our model. Note that no assumptions are made about the graphic model used to draw in the application data layer. The multi-layered model can be used with bitmap graphics with an alpha value, enhanced bitmap graphics (supporting zoom), bitmap graphics with some structured primitives (QuickDraw [2], X), device-independant 2/3D painting (PostScript [1]) or 3D (PHIGS).

Handling of 3D

Note also that the model is, to some extent, suitable for interactive manipulation of 3D graphics. Most 3D editors use a "wire frame" representation of 3D structures. The vertices are displayed using a transformation and manipulated using some 2D or 3D locator. The virtual surface contains 3D objects (virtual volume would be a better name) and the visible surfaces present a projection — through a visualizing transformation — of the virtual volume. The list of layers given for a conventional 2D graphical application still applies for a 3D editor:

- **the background** usually displays a solid color (either black or grey),
- **the graphical constraints visualization layer** can display a 3D grid or a trajectory,
- **the application data layer** displays the 3D objects,
- **the selected objects layer** displays handles of selected vertices or objects,
- **the lexical operations representation layer** displays the representation of the input device (the projection of a 3D mouse for instance).

The model cannot be used when objects are edited with their hidden surfaces removed because the cursor and selection should be consistent with the 3D structure. This case is, however, very unusual.

Handling of Events

A major benefit of multi-layer graphics is the simplicity of interaction handling. Each layer handles only those events for which it has expressed interests; control is distributed. When it receives an event, a layer can either:

- ignore it and pass it to layers below,
- handle it,
- handle it, then pass it to layers below,
- handle it, transform it and then pass it to layers below.

For example, figure 5 describes how events are handled by each layer if the selection mode works as follows:
The selection of a graphic object within the main data layer. Draw like a pencil would simply add some actions sophisticated feedback than the traditional cursor. An animation program for instance, we use a pressure sensitive a cross and the pressure as a circle, with a radius proportional above (see figure 6).

We call ghost a transient graphic object which represents the pressure. A sketching program using the dig itizer to wireless Wacom digitizer where the hot spot is represented as.

Figure 5 also shows the declarative aspect of event handling with our model. Note that the selection rectangle is created by the background layer, because it is a default action, performed when no other layer handles the event more specifically. For exotic devices, the lexical layer can also represent more sophisticated feedback than the traditional cursor. In our animation program for instance, we use a pressure sensitive wireless Wacom digitizer where the hot spot is represented as a cross and the pressure as a circle, with a radius proportional to the pressure. A sketching program using the digitizer to draw like a pencil would simply add some actions to the layers above (see figure 6).

**Logical Status of Layers**

Most toolkits use a model inspired from the Smalltalk Model-View-Controller [8] (MVC) model: ET++ uses MVC, InterViews calls it Subject-View, Garnet uses a one-way constraint system. The idea of these models is to distinguish between object and representation and to provide a mechanism to keep them coherent. The PAC (Presentation, Abstraction, Controller) model of [4] is a generalization of this concept of separation. By using it, we can further clarify the notion of layer in our model.

In graphical applications, some abstract structure is to be displayed (see fig. 2). The graphic structure is considered as the presentation of the abstract structure. The graphic controller is responsible for keeping the graphical structure coherent with the abstract structure. It is also responsible for receiving input events and handling them according to the graphic semantics of the application. In most interactive graphic applications, the controller of this layer performs only "hit detection", that is, receives input events and determines which object they designate. The manipulation – either direct or through menus – is usually performed on selected objects.

With our model, we can describe the relationship between the graphic structure and the representation of its selection (its ghost) by a similar process (see fig. 7). The handles representing the selection are a presentation of the state "being selected" of the graphic structure.

As shown in the previous section, the ghost appears in the selected objects layer. In our model, the ghost is considered as a view of the graphical data structure representing the object in the main data layer. Most of the time, only geometric
information is required to draw a ghost. The graphical aspect of the ghost can therefore be defined independently of the application. Of course, in an object-oriented implementation, the ghost may be specialized to display some application-specific information.

The controller is responsible for maintaining the graphical coherence between the graphic structure of the main data layer and its ghost. It also interprets input events and handles direct manipulation. During direct manipulation, the controller maintains a corresponding graphic echo and can try to affect the manipulation by constraining input events (e.g., mouse move). The constraints here can be either lexical, syntactic or semantic, depending mainly on performance issues. Lexical constraints (like grid alignment constraints) are independent of the graphic data structures and of the semantics of the abstract data structure being manipulated. Syntactic constraints (like non-overlapping constraints) depend on the graphical structure. Semantic constraints (like highlighting only valid targets when interactively connecting two components of a graphic structure) depend on the graphic structure and the semantic structure.

Once the manipulation is terminated, the controller can modify the abstract data structure or ignore the effects if the manipulation is not considered valid. This above structuration shows a recursive PAC organization (see figure 8).

The PAC model is also applicable to the graphical constraints visualization layer, which displays a presentation of some constraints. For example, if we use a simple grid alignment, the abstraction is composed of four values: the grid spacing and the offset from the origin. From this abstraction, the grid can be displayed. The abstraction is then used during the direct manipulation phase to align the input device events to grid values (see figure 9).

Finally, with the PAC model, the lexical operations representation layer displays some presentation of device states (the mouse cursor, for instance).

Each layer contains the presentation and handles the interaction for a specific category of abstractions.

**Example of Use in an Application**

With the multi-layer model, we have built a computer aided cartoon animation editor. The Unidraw toolkit, which offers a rich set of objects, has been modified for this purpose. An animator can use both a mouse and a pressure sensitive Wacom stylus with multiple levels of pressure. The layers it uses are shown in figure 10.
Related Work

The multi-layer model is not completely new; previous systems have used multiple layers for graphic output or event handling. However, no model generalizes this notion as ours does.

Some window systems offer support for stacked windows, either for graphic output or for event handling. NeWS [13] has a special type of window called “overlay canvas” which is used to display transient data. Overlay canvases optimize the redisplay by relaxing the drawing model of PostScript. They usually use either an overlay plane of the screen on the root window when the hardware offers such a device, or draws all the shapes using Xor raster operations. Overlay canvases offer just one level of layering, which is a strong limitation.

The X window system offers transparent input-only windows for event handling. As their name implies, no graphic output can be done on such windows.

The HyperCard [5] system has a two layer model for handling graphics and events, which is very close to our model. However, HyperCard is not extensible and can not be considered as a complete toolkit.

It is also interesting to notice that some real devices do provide multi-layered graphics, like heads-up displays on military planes.

Future work

We are currently working on a graphic editor for designing interactive graphical applications, using this model to express event handling graphically.

Conclusion

The multi-layered multi-view model simplifies both the management of graphical output and the description of event handling for interactive graphical applications. It clarifies the realization of objects appearing in a graphical application, like selection, cursor, grid or selection rectangle. It also permits a clear description of event handling for a variety of input devices. We believe this model can unify the handling of a variety of problems that are currently solved with ad hoc approaches.
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Figure 11: Interface of an Editor using the Layers model.

Figure 10: layers of a 2D animation graphic editor.

Compared with a conventional 2D graphic editor, we have added the Digitizer echo layer which displays a cross centered at the hot spot of the stylus, with a width equal to the selected drawing line width. When the stylus touches the digitizer, a circle appears with a radius proportional to the pressure applied, as shown in figure 11.

The graphic editor can show multiple views of the same drawing (in the main data layer). Each view also contains the Digitizer echo layer. This feature is useful when working on a small area of a drawing, which is usually zoomed on one view but not on another. The cursor provides the visual insurance that the two views display the same drawing.

The background layer can contain other drawings, greyed out. This feature is meant to emulate the use of tracing paper.